

# A Summary of the Liveability Ranking and Overview

## August 2015





# Contents

<b>The findings of the latest survey</b>	<b>2</b>
Liveability is recovering, but unrest still presents a threat	2
Improvements at the bottom	4
<b>How cities perform</b>	<b>5</b>
Ten of the best-the most improved liveability scores over five years	5
Ten of the worst-the biggest falls in liveability scores over five years	5
The five most liveable	5
The five least liveable	6
<b>The Economist Intelligence Unit's liveability survey</b>	<b>7</b>
How the rating works	7
The suggested liveability scale	7
How the rating is calculated	8



## The findings of the latest survey

### Liveability is recovering, but unrest still presents a threat

Melbourne in Australia remains the most liveable location of the 140 cities surveyed, followed by the Austrian capital, Vienna. Vancouver in Canada, which was the most liveable city surveyed until 2011, lies in third place. Although the top cities remain unchanged, the last year has seen a number of changes in city liveability scores. Over the past six months 38 cities of the 140 surveyed have experienced changes in scores. This rises to 53 cities, or 37% of the total number surveyed, when looking at changes over the past year. Of these changes the majority have been negative, 38 in the past 12 months, reflecting a deterioration in stability in many cities around the world.

Civil unrest, acts of terror and violence have triggered stability declines around the world. High-profile terrorist shootings in France and Tunisia, and the ongoing actions of Islamic State (IS) in the Middle East have created a further heightened threat of terrorism in many countries. Meanwhile, protests over matters like police brutality, democracy and austerity have also raised the threat of civil unrest in many countries, notably the US where the deaths of a number of black people in police custody have led to widespread protests and accusations of racism. Events in Ukraine, and the subsequent sanctions imposed by many countries, continue to have knock-on effects for cities such as Kiev, Moscow and St Petersburg.

On the other hand, those cities moving up the ranking are largely in countries that have enjoyed periods of relative stability following falls in liveability. Chinese cities, for example, have seen scores improve after a sustained period of civil stability since 2012, when a number of protests and riots, most notably driven by anti-Japanese sentiment, brought scores down.

The impact of declining stability is most apparent when a five-year view of the global average scores is taken. Overall, the global average liveability score has fallen by 1 percentage point to 75% over the past five years, and one-third of this decline has come in the past year. Weakening stability has been a key factor in driving this decrease. The average global stability score has fallen by 2.2% over the past five years, from 74.5% in 2010 to 72.3% now.

Over five years 89 of the 140 cities surveyed have seen some change in overall liveability scores. Of these cities, 57 have seen declines in liveability. Three cities in particular, Tripoli, Kiev and Damascus, have seen significant declines of 21.9, 25.8 and 27 percentage points respectively, illustrating that conflict is, unsurprisingly, the key factor in undermining wider liveability.

Although the most liveable cities in the world remain largely unchanged, there has been movement within the top tier of liveability. Of the 65 cities with scores of 80 or more, 20 have seen a change in score in the past 12 months. As global instability grows, these movements have been overwhelmingly negative, with only Honolulu in the US and Warsaw in Poland registering rises. The latter of these



has moved up to the top tier of liveability in the current survey as crime levels continue to register improvements. North American cities have largely seen declines. Part of this stems from unrest related to a number of high-profile deaths of black people in police custody, but there have also been escalations in crime rates in some locations, coupled with a number of incidences of religious or politically motivated attacks. Detroit, for example has suffered from a rising prevalence of petty and violent crimes as well as bouts of civil unrest, leading to lowered stability and overall scores. Hong Kong is another city that has notably fallen in the ranking owing to mass protests and clashes with the police in the past year. Nevertheless, with such high scores already in place, the impact of such declines has not been enough to push any city into a lower tier of liveability. Although 16.8 percentage points separate Melbourne in first place from Santiago and Warsaw in joint 64th place, all cities in this tier can still lay claim to being on an equal footing in terms of presenting few, if any, challenges to residents' lifestyles.

Nonetheless, there does appear to be a correlation between the types of cities that sit right at the very top of the ranking. Those that score best tend to be mid-sized cities in wealthier countries with a relatively low population density. These can foster a range of recreational activities without leading to high crime levels or overburdened infrastructure. Seven of the top ten scoring cities are in Australia and Canada, with population densities of 2.88 and 3.40 people per sq km respectively. Elsewhere in the top ten, Finland and New Zealand both have densities of 16 people per sq km. These compare with a global (land) average of 45.65 and a US average of 32. Austria bucks this trend with a density of 100 people per sq km. However, Vienna's population of 1.7m people is relatively small compared with the urban centres of New York, London, Paris and Tokyo.

It may be argued that violent crime is on an upward trend in the top tier of cities, but these observations are not always correct. Vancouver saw a record low number of murders in 2013, after a decade-long decline that pushed homicide rates down to 1.5 per 100,000 of population in 2012. Although crime rates are perceived as rising in Australia, the state of Victoria, where Melbourne is located, recorded just 82 homicide offences in 2013/14, a decrease of 11.8% from the previous year. In Austria the murder rate was just 0.9 per 100,000 people in 2012. In 2014 there were reports that only nine murders had been recorded in Vienna, a city of 1.8m people, a murder rate of 0.5 per 100,000 people. These figures compare with a global average of 6.2 homicides per 100,000 people (2013) and a US average of 4.5 per 100,000 (2013). New York boasted a rate of 3.9 in 2014, while Detroit reported a rate of 44 per 100,000 in the same year. In South Africa the rate was 32.2 in 2013/14.

Global business centres tend to be victims of their own success. The "big city buzz" that they enjoy can overstretch infrastructure and cause higher crime rates. New York, London, Paris and Tokyo are all prestigious hubs with a wealth of recreational activity, but all suffer from higher levels of crime, congestion and public transport problems than would be deemed comfortable. The question is how much wages, the cost of living and personal taste for a location can offset liveability factors. Although global centres fare less well in the ranking than mid-sized cities, for example, they still sit within the highest tier of liveability and should therefore be considered broadly comparable, especially when contrasted with the worst-scoring locations.



## Improvements at the bottom

Of the poorer-scoring cities, 14 continue to occupy the very bottom tier of liveability, where ratings fall below 50% and most aspects of living are severely restricted. Gradually increasing stability has seen marginal improvements in the score of Lagos in Nigeria, but the continued threat from groups like Boko Haram acts as a constraint. A more stable outlook has also led to improvements in Abidjan in Côte d'Ivoire. The liveability scores for both Damascus and Kiev have continued to decline steeply. Escalations in hostilities in Libya have also prompted a sharp decline in liveability in Tripoli as the threat to stability from IS continues to spread across the Middle East and North Africa. Damascus has seen a stabilisation in the decline of liveability but remains ranked at the bottom of the 140 cities surveyed.

The relatively small number of cities in the bottom tier of liveability partly reflects the intended scope of the ranking—the survey is designed to address a range of cities or business centres that people might want to live in or visit. For example, the survey does not include locations such as Kabul in Afghanistan and Baghdad in Iraq. Although few could currently argue that Damascus or Kiev are likely to attract visitors, their inclusion in the survey reflects cities that were deemed relatively stable just a few years ago. With the exception of crisis-hit cities, the low number of cities in the bottom tier also reflects a degree of convergence, where levels of liveability are generally expected to improve in developing economies over time. This long-term trend has been upset by the heightened global unrest over the past five years.

Conflict is responsible for many of the lowest scores. This is not only because stability indicators have the highest single scores but also because factors defining stability spread to have an adverse effect on other categories. For example, conflict will not just cause disruption in its own right, it will also damage infrastructure, overburden hospitals and undermine the availability of goods, services and recreational activities. With the exception of Kiev, the Middle East, Africa and Asia account for all 14 cities, with violence, whether through crime, civil insurgency, terrorism or war, playing a strong role.



## How cities perform

### Ten of the best-the most improved liveability scores over five years

City	Country	Rank (out of 140)	Overall Rating (100=ideal)	Five year score movement
Harare	Zimbabwe	133	42.6	5.1
Kathmandu	Nepal	124	51	3.9
Dubai	UAE	75	74.7	3.4
Warsaw	Poland	64	80.7	2.5
Kuwait City	Kuwait	83	72.1	2.5
Honolulu	US	19	94.1	2.0
Beijing	China	69	76.2	1.9
Bratislava	Slovakia	63	81.5	1.7
Baku	Azerbaijan	103	62.3	1.6
Nairobi	Kenya	120	53.1	1.5

### Ten of the worst-the biggest falls in liveability scores over five years

City	Country	Rank (out of 140)	Overall Rating (100=ideal)	five year score movement
Damascus	Syria	140	29.3	-27
Kiev	Ukraine	132	43.4	-25.8
Tripoli	Libya	136	40.0	-21.9
Tunis	Tunisia	108	59.8	-6.6
Athens	Greece	72	75.3	-5.9
Detroit	US	57	85.0	-5.7
Moscow	Russia	81	72.8	-5.6
Cairo	Egypt	121	53.0	-4.9
Bahrain	Bahrain	92	68.8	-4.6
St Petersburg	Russia	77	74.1	-4.4



## Liveability ranking and overview

### The five most liveable

Country	City	Rank (out of 140)	Overall Rating (100=ideal)
Australia	Melbourne	1	97.5
Austria	Vienna	2	97.4
Canada	Vancouver	3	97.3
Canada	Toronto	4	97.2
Australia	Adelaide	5	96.6
Canada	Calgary	5	96.6

### The five least liveable

Country	City	Rank (out of 140)	Overall Rating (100=ideal)
Libya	Tripoli	136	40
Nigeria	Lagos	137	39.7
PNG	Port Moresby	138	38.9
Bangladesh	Dhaka	139	38.7
Syria	Damascus	140	29.3



# The Economist Intelligence Unit's liveability survey

## How the rating works

The concept of liveability is simple: it assesses which locations around the world provide the best or the worst living conditions. Assessing liveability has a broad range of uses, from benchmarking perceptions of development levels to assigning a hardship allowance as part of expatriate relocation packages. The Economist Intelligence Unit's liveability rating quantifies the challenges that might be presented to an individual's lifestyle in any given location, and allows for direct comparison between locations.

Every city is assigned a rating of relative comfort for over 30 qualitative and quantitative factors across five broad categories: stability; healthcare; culture and environment; education; and infrastructure. Each factor in a city is rated as acceptable, tolerable, uncomfortable, undesirable or intolerable. For qualitative indicators, a rating is awarded based on the judgment of in-house analysts and in-city contributors. For quantitative indicators, a rating is calculated based on the relative performance of a number of external data points.

The scores are then compiled and weighted to provide a score of 1–100, where 1 is considered intolerable and 100 is considered ideal. The liveability rating is provided both as an overall score and as a score for each category. To provide points of reference, the score is also given for each category relative to New York and an overall position in the ranking of 140 cities is provided.

## The suggested liveability scale

Companies pay a premium (usually a percentage of a salary) to employees who move to cities where living conditions are particularly difficult and there is excessive physical hardship or a notably unhealthy environment.

The Economist Intelligence Unit has given a suggested allowance to correspond with the rating. However, the actual level of the allowance is often a matter of company policy. It is not uncommon, for example, for companies to pay higher allowances—perhaps up to double The Economist Intelligence Unit's suggested level.

Rating	Description	Suggested allowance (%)
80–100	There are few, if any, challenges to living standards	0
70–80	Day-to-day living is fine, in general, but some aspects of life may entail problems	5
60–70	Negative factors have an impact on day-to-day living	10
50–60	Liveability is substantially constrained	15
50 or less	Most aspects of living are severely restricted	20



## How the rating is calculated

The liveability score is reached through category weights, which are equally divided into relevant subcategories to ensure that the score covers as many indicators as possible. Indicators are scored as acceptable, tolerable, uncomfortable, undesirable or intolerable. These are then weighted to produce a rating, where 100 means that liveability in a city is ideal and 1 means that it is intolerable.

For qualitative variables, an “EIU rating” is awarded based on the judgment of in-house expert country analysts and a field correspondent based in each city. For quantitative variables, a rating is calculated based on the relative performance of a location using external data sources.

### Category 1: Stability (weight: 25% of total)

Indicator	Source
Prevalence of petty crime	EIU rating
Prevalence of violent crime	EIU rating
Threat of terror	EIU rating
Threat of military conflict	EIU rating
Threat of civil unrest/conflict	EIU rating

### Category 2: Healthcare (weight: 20% of total)

Indicator	Source
Availability of private healthcare	EIU rating
Quality of private healthcare	EIU rating
Availability of public healthcare	EIU rating
Quality of public healthcare	EIU rating
Availability of over-the-counter drugs	EIU rating
General healthcare indicators	Adapted from World Bank

### Category 3: Culture and Environment (weight: 25% of total)

Indicator	Source
Humidity/temperature rating	Adapted from average weather conditions
Discomfort of climate to travellers	EIU rating
Level of corruption	Adapted from Transparency International
Social or religious restrictions	EIU rating
Level of censorship	EIU rating
Sporting availability	EIU field rating of 3 sport indicators



## Category 3 continued

Indicator	Source
Cultural availability	EIU field rating of 4 cultural indicators
Food and drink	EIU field rating of 4 cultural indicators
Consumer goods and services	EIU rating of product availability

## Category 4: Education (weight: 10% of total)

Indicator	Source
Availability of private education	EIU rating
Quality of private education	EIU rating
Public education indicators	Adapted from World Bank

## Category 5: Infrastructure (weight: 20% of total)

Indicator	Source
Quality of road network	EIU rating
Quality of public transport	EIU rating
Quality of international links	EIU rating
Availability of good quality housing	EIU rating
Quality of energy provision	EIU rating
Quality of water provision	EIU rating
Quality of telecommunications	EIU rating



# Access analysis on over 200 countries worldwide with the Economist Intelligence Unit

The analysis and content in our reports is derived from our extensive economic, financial, political and business risk analysis of over 203 countries worldwide.

You may gain access to this information by signing up, free of charge, at [www.eiu.com](http://www.eiu.com).

Click on the country name to go straight to the latest analysis of that country:

## G8 Countries

- Canada
- Germany
- Japan
- United Kingdom
- France
- Italy
- Russia
- United States of America

## BRIC Countries

- Brazil
- Russia
- India
- China

## CIVETS Countries

- Colombia
- Vietnam
- Turkey
- Indonesia
- Egypt
- South Africa

Or [view the list of all the countries](#).

Further reports are available from Economist Intelligence Unit and can be downloaded at [www.eiu.com](http://www.eiu.com)

## Should you wish to speak to a sales representative please telephone us:

Americas: +1 212 698 9717

Asia: +852 2585 3888

Europe, Middle East & Africa: +44 (0)20 7576 8181



# Access analysis and forecasting of major industries with the Economist Intelligence Unit

**I**n addition to the extensive country coverage the Economist Intelligence Unit provides each month industry and commodities information is also available.

The key industry sectors we cover are listed below with links to more information on each of them.

## **Automotive**

Analysis and five-year forecast for the automotive industry throughout the world providing detail on a country by country basis.

## **Commodities**

This service offers analysis for 25 leading commodities. It delivers price forecasts for the next two years with forecasts of factors influencing prices such as production, consumption and stock levels. Analysis and forecasts are split by the two main commodity types: “Industrial raw materials” and “Food, feedstuffs and beverages”.

## **Consumer goods**

Analysis and five-year forecast for the consumer goods and retail industry throughout the world providing detail on a country by country basis.

## **Energy**

Analysis and five-year forecast for the energy industries throughout the world providing detail on a country by country basis.

## **Financial services**

Analysis and five-year forecast for the financial services industry throughout the world providing detail on a country by country basis.

## **Healthcare**

Analysis and five-year forecast for the healthcare industry throughout the world providing detail on a country by country basis.

## **Technology**

Analysis and five-year forecast for the technology industry throughout the world providing detail on a country by country basis.



# Meet your specific research needs with our Custom Research service

Successful strategies leave nothing to chance. That is why business leaders throughout the world commission custom research from the Economist Intelligence Unit to enrich their insight for sharper business decisions.

EIU Custom Research was established in 2004 to provide a superior level of knowledge to clients who need a more thorough understanding of current markets and growth opportunities at a strategic or operational level. This specialist service delivers bespoke business intelligence that is deeper and broader than the published reports and subscription-based services for which we are renowned.

## Benchmarking

We can provide a detailed evaluation of competitors operating in a market you are considering for expansion, evaluate local human capital, the overseas talent market, labour market conditions and how local regulations will affect your organisation—positively or negatively—to help you to prioritise markets for expansion and pinpoint hidden opportunities for growth and profitability.

[Find out more by reading this case study.](#)

## Country analysis

We can provide you with an in-depth understanding of specific political and economics issues and forecasts including scenario analysis. You may be interested in business environment analysis or cross-country benchmarking—our global reach and ability to focus on your business needs within a cross-country framework is unparalleled.

[Find out more by reading this case study.](#)

## Forecasting

We are able to help you to understand where you are most likely to find the greatest demand for your products or services—now, and over time. Our unrivalled database of over 200 countries, combined with our ability to offer more granular research, allows us to do this effectively.

[Find out more by reading this case study.](#)

## Indexing

Our expertise is not limited to business or government applications. We can combine our analysis and modelling capabilities with access to global academic experts to develop highly customised indexes that highlight particular factors that your organisation needs to be aware of.

[Find out more by reading this case study.](#)

## Market sizing

We can help you to determine the best markets in which to expand, how to expand effectively, and what your organisation needs to be ready to manage this expansion. We do this by drawing from our peerless databases of macroeconomic and demographic analysis and forecasting, combined with sophisticated econometric modelling services.

[Find out more by reading this case study.](#)



# Meet your specific research needs with our Custom Research service

## Product demand

We can identify where the greatest demand for your product—and the greatest opportunity for expansion—may lie through our access to industry leaders, combined with our expert forecasting and analysis capabilities.

[Find out more by reading this case study.](#)

## Risk analysis

We can identify obstacles your company may face from exposure to new markets and new opportunities in a comparative framework that sets unfamiliar markets and situations alongside places and activities you already know. We can provide country-specific, operational and financial risk ratings to help you to make informed decisions on a number of different indicators, including early warning of possible market and industry threats in areas such as security, tax policy, supply chain, regulatory, creditworthiness and labour markets.

[Find out more by reading this case study.](#)

Visit our website at [www.eiu.com/research](http://www.eiu.com/research)

Or

Should you wish to speak to a sales representative please telephone us:

Americas: +1 212 698 9717

Asia: +852 2585 3888

Europe, Middle East & Africa: +44 (0)20 7576 8181



# Media Enquiries for the Economist Intelligence Unit

## Europe, Middle East & Africa

### Grayling London

Roisin Miller

+44 (0) 207 592 7922

+44 (0) 795 078 3428

[eiui-international@grayling.com](mailto:eiui-international@grayling.com)

## Americas

### Grayling New York

Gina Sorice

Tel: +1 646 284 9414

[eiui-international@grayling.com](mailto:eiui-international@grayling.com)

## Asia Pacific (excluding China)

### MHP Communications

Andrew Brown

Tel: +852 3114 6339

[andrew.brown@mhpc.com](mailto:andrew.brown@mhpc.com)

## China

### Grayling China

Dong Chen

+86 (21) 5239 7719 - 101

[dong.chen@grayling.com](mailto:dong.chen@grayling.com)

While every effort has been taken to verify the accuracy of this information, The Economist Intelligence Unit Ltd. cannot accept any responsibility or liability for reliance by any person on this report or any of the information, opinions or conclusions set out in this report.

LONDON

20 Cabot Square

London

E14 4QW

United Kingdom

Tel: (44.20) 7576 8000

Fax: (44.20) 7576 8500

E-mail: london@eiu.com

NEW YORK

750 Third Avenue

5th Floor

New York, NY 10017

United States

Tel: (1.212) 554 0600

Fax: (1.212) 586 1181/2

E-mail: newyork@eiu.com

HONG KONG

1301 Cityplaza Four

12 Taikoo Wan Road

Taikoo Shing

Hong Kong

Tel: (852) 2585 3888

Fax: (852) 2802 7638

E-mail: hongkong@eiu.com

GENEVA

Rue de l'Athénée 32

1206 Geneva

Switzerland

Tel: (41) 22 566 2470

Fax: (41) 22 346 93 47

E-mail: geneva@eiu.com